·

| | | APPENDIX 1 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Recommendation | Management Comments | | Responsibility |
| | | | |
| Whilst acknowledging significant improvements in training monitoring and attendance, outstanding training should continue to be proactively monitored by the CTRM and instances of non – completion escalated, to the AML/CTF Officer and / or Chief Executive Officer, as required. | Agreed. | 31 March 2016 | Scott Howell |
| | | | |
| For ease and feasibility of application, in the event of two or more non – compliance issues being attributed to an employee, it is recommended the Program specify a timeframe triggering mandatory retraining or training update (as the AML / CTF Compliance Officer judges appropriate). | Agreed. | 31 March 2016 | Debra Tegoni |
| | significant improvements in training monitoring and attendance, outstanding training should continue to be proactively monitored by the CTRM and instances of non – completion escalated, to the AML/CTF Officer and / or Chief Executive Officer, as required. For ease and feasibility of application, in the event of two or more non – compliance issues being attributed to an employee, it is recommended the Program specify a timeframe triggering mandatory retraining or training update (as the AML / CTF Compliance Officer | Whilst acknowledging significant improvements in training monitoring and attendance, outstanding training should continue to be proactively monitored by the CTRM and instances of non - completion escalated, to the AML/CTF Officer and / or Chief Executive Officer, as required. For ease and feasibility of application, in the event of two or more non - compliance issues being attributed to an employee, it is recommended the Program specify a timeframe triggering mandatory retraining or training update (as the AML / CTF Compliance Officer | Recommendation Management Comments Target Action Date • Whilst acknowledging significant improvements in training monitoring and attendace, outstanding training should continue to be proactively monitored by the CTRM and instances of non - completion escalated, to the AML/CTF Officer and / or Chief Executive Officer, as required. Agreed. 31 March 2016 • For ease and feasibility of application, in the event of two or more non - compliance issues being attributed to an employee, it is recommended the Program specify a timeframe triggering mandatory retraining or training update (as the AML / CTF Compliance Officer Agreed. 31 March 2016 |

•

| Audit Findings | Recommendation | Management Comments | Target Action Date | APPENDIX 1 Responsibility |
|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| specifically a timeframe (between non – compliance issues) in the event of two or more non – compliance issues being attributed to an employee, and resultant mandatory retraining or training update as above. | | | | |
| Transaction Monitoring (Clause 12 and Annexure F) | | | | |
| Review of CTRM transaction monitoring program for the period 1 July 2014 to 30 June 2015 noted no exceptions, with required reports generated and reviewed by the CTRM, in accordance with the Program. | | Agreed. | 31 March 2016 | Debra Tegoni |
| It was noted that the CTRM performs a review of a further two reports (Alerts and Junkets) not correctly referenced in the Program (daily review of Alerts is not documented, whilst daily review of Junkets is part documented). | | | | |